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#### Abstract

The title compound was isolated from the esterified extract of Marasmiellus ramealis shake cultures and synthesized from the corresponding natural 3,7 -bis(hydroxymethyl)benzoxepinone. In addition, several known metabolites, three new to M. remealis, were isolated from the culture fluid extract.


Examination of the surface cultures of the Tricholomataceae species Marasmiellus ramealis (Bull. ex Fr.) Singer, tribus Collybieae, previously called Marasmius ramealis (Bull. ex Fr.) Fr., ${ }^{2}$ resulted in the isolation of marasin (1), ${ }^{3}$ (B-hydroxy-3methylisocoumarin (2), ${ }^{4}$ and 3,7-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-benz-oxepine-5( 2 H )-one (3). ${ }^{5}$ In the course of our search for possible marasin precursors we grew the fungus in shake cultures for five days and the constituents of the culture fluid extract were analysed. The neutral fraction yielded marasin (1), the isocoumarin (2), and the dihydroisocoumarin (4). The latter compound, known as the fungal metabolite mellein, ${ }^{6 a}$ was new to $M$. ramealis cultures. Also new to this fungus were two constituents of the acid fraction: pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid, a known bacterial product, ${ }^{7}$ and the fungal metabolite $p$-hydroxybenzoic acid; ${ }^{60}$ both compounds were isolated as the corresponding methyl esters.
Traces of polyacetylenes appeared in the least polar ester fraction and were followed by an aromatic compound of probable molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. None of these could be identified.

The most polar ester fraction yielded a crystalline metabolite ( $0.3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ culture fluid). High-resolution mass spectrometry showed the molecular formula to be $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum accounted for a formyl proton, three aromatic protons in a 1,2,4-arrangement, a single olefinic proton, two equivalent protons on a carbon atom carrying oxygen, and three methyl ester protons.
Comparison of the chemical shifts and patterns of the signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum of the new metabolite with those in the spectra of the benzoxepinones (3), (5), and (6) ${ }^{5}$ indicated that (a) the same ring system was present in all cases, (b) the differences arose through the substituents at positions 3 and 7 on the ring, (c) the substituents in the new benzoxepinone had to be the formyl and methoxycarbonyl groups, and (d) the ester was at the 3-position [compound (7)] rather than at $C(7)$ [compound (8)].

This assignment was confirmed by partial synthesis in which the aldehydoester (7) was prepared from the natural diol (3) by selective stepwise oxidation. The relative susceptibility of the allylic and benzylic hydroxy groups in the diol (3) to mild oxidation determined which of the two possible aldehydoesters, the desired compound (7) or its positional isomer (8), would be produced. Treatment of the diol (3) with a large excess of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(8: 1, \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ was found ${ }^{5}$ to yield the unstable dialdehyde (5) in $67 \%$ yield. Oxidation with a smaller amount of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(4.5: 1)$ under controlled conditions (monitoring of the reaction progress by t.l.c.) yielded the intermediate monoaldehyde in $73 \%$ yield.

Structure allocation to the oxidation product was achieved by comparing its n.m.r. spectrum with those of the diol (3) and
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\begin{align*}
& \text { (3) } R^{1}=R^{2}=\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{OH}_{2} \\
& \text { (5) } \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CHO} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
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the dialdehyde (5). ${ }^{5}$ The three aromatic protons $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$, and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$ of the hydroxyaldehyde resonated at $\tau 2.94,2.48$, and 2.08 respectively; their chemical shifts were almost identical with those of the aromatic protons in the diol (3) ( $\tau 2.96,2.47$, and 2.09), but at appreciably higher field than those of the aromatic protons in the dialdehyde (5) ( $\tau 2.75,1.29$, and 1.43 ). Thus, the hydroxymethyl group had to be present on the benzene ring as represented in structure (9). This was also favoured by the chemical-shift differences observed for the olefinic proton $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}$. It resonated in the hydroxy aldehyde (9) at $\tau 3.07$ which is much closer to the chemical shift of the olefinic proton in the dialdehyde (5) ( $\tau$ 2.92) than in the diol (3) ( $\tau$ 3.53). The chemical-shift differences for the allylic proton $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}$ in the three compounds were, on the other hand, less distinctive and of no value in the structure allocation. $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}$ resonated in the hydroxy aldehyde (9) at $\tau 5.1$, in the diol (3) at $\tau 5.3$, and in the dialdehyde (5) at $\tau 4.94$.

No distinction was possible in the original signal assignments ${ }^{5}$ between the chemical shifts of the benzylic and allylic protons for the diol (3) and between the two aldehyde proton signals in the dialdehyde (5). There is now a strong indication, based on the spectrum of the hydroxy aldehyde (9), that (a) in the diol (3) the singlet at $\tau 5.35$ belongs to the benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ group and that at $\tau 5.65$ to the allylic group and (b) the singlet at $\tau 0.21$ in the dialdehyde (5) belongs to the oxepine formyI and that at -0.01 to the aromatic formyl group.

With the selective oxidation of the allylic alcohol group
accomplished, the following route was chosen for the partial synthesis of the aldehydoester (7).

Treatment of the hydroxy aldehyde (9) with $\mathrm{Me}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{SiCl}$ and imidazole ${ }^{8}$ in methylene dichloride gave the silyl ether (10) in $80 \%$ yield. As expected, its mass spectrum showed only a very weak molecular ion ( $1 \%$ ), and the major fragments occurred at $m / z 275,259$, and 201 [loss of $\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}},\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Me}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right) \mathrm{SiO}$, respectively]. The n.m.r. spectrum showed a signal pattern similar to that of the parent compound (9), with the exception that the broad hydroxy-proton singlet ( $\tau 7.48$ ) was replaced by two singlets ( $\tau 9.82$ and 8.97) due to the methyl and t-butyl protons, respectively.

The aldehyde (10) was converted into the methyl ester (11) $(53 \%)$ as described for the conversion of the dialdehyde (5) into the diester (6). ${ }^{5}$ The ester (11) showed a weak molecular ion ( $2 \%$ ) in the mass spectrum and the major fragments occurred at $m / z 305,289$, and 231, thus revealing a fragmentation pattern analogous to that of the silyl ether (10). In the n.m.r. spectrum, the formyl-proton singlet ( $\tau 0.13$ ) of the aldehyde (10) was replaced by a signal due to the methyl ester ( $\tau 6.18$ ); the rest of the signal pattern remained unchanged.

Treatment of the silyl ether (11) with AcOH-water ${ }^{8}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the hydroxy ester (12) in $71 \%$ yield. In its mass spectrum the molecular ion constituted the base peak and prominent fragments appeared due to loss of $\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$, and $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}+\mathrm{CO}\right)$. In the n.m.r. spectrum, the $\mathrm{Me}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Si}-$ group singlets of the ether (11) were replaced by the broad singlet ( $\tau 6.57$ ) of the hydroxy proton.
The hydroxy ester (12) was oxidized with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ to the aldehydoester (7) ( $50 \%$ ); this was found to be identical in all respects with the natural product.

## Experimental

For general techniques see reference 9. Light petroleum refers to that fraction boiling in the range $30-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Growth of Marasmiellus ramealis (Bull. ex Fr.) Singer and Isolation of the Metabolites.-The fungus was grown as a shake culture on a medium consisting of glucose ( 40 g ), casein hydrolysate ( 1 g ), $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{KCl}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{MgSO}_{4} .7$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}(0.01 \mathrm{~g})$, and yeast extract ( 2 g ) (per litre of water) for 5 d . The culture fluid ( $157.51 ; 210$ flasks) was decanted and continuously extracted with diethyl ether ( 48 h ). The extract was concentrated to $c a .200 \mathrm{ml}$ and separated into a neutral and acidic fraction with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$.

Acidic fraction. The concentrated acidic fraction was esterified $\left[\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(24: 1)\right]$ and the mixture of methyl esters was chromatographed on a $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column ( 300 g ) with stepwise elution [light petroleum- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1)$, to $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (19:1)], and $100-\mathrm{ml}$ fractions were collected.

Fractions $4-8$ were combined, concentrated, and the residue was separated by p.l.c. [ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-light petroleum ( $1: 3$ ); continuous elution; 3.25 h ] into two bands. The less polar band had $\lambda_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 337,315,296,279,251$, and 240 nm , and the more polar one had $\lambda_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 345,324.5,306$, 288infl., 244, and $231 \mathrm{~nm} ; v_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3310,1730$, and $840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Further attempts at purification failed.
The combined fractions 9-13 were further separat ${ }^{\circ}$ d by repeated p.l.c. $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-light petroleum mixtures; both continuous elution) into three fractions. The least polar fraction gave a metabolite ( 10 mg ) which could not be identified, $R_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.64$ (Found: $M^{+}$, 248.1047. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $M, 248.1046$ ); $m / z 248\left(M^{+}, 60 \%\right.$ ), 233 (88), 217 (76), 216 (100), 203 (24), 202 (9), 201 (17), and 189 (40). The band of medium polarity yielded as the major component a white solid which, on crystallization (light petroleum), gave needles
of methyl pyrrole-2-carboxylate ( 14 mg ), m.p. and mixed m.p. $70-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{10 a} 73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

The solid obtained from the most polar band was crystallized from chloroform to give needles of methyl p-hydroxybenzoate ( 206 mg ), m.p. $126-127{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{106}$ $127-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Fractions 14 - 16 yielded a solid which was crystallized from acetone to give needles of methyl 7-formyl-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1-benzoxepine-3-carboxylate (7) ( 48 mg ), m.p. $121-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.42$ [Found: $M^{+}, 246.0516 . \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $M$, 246.0504; $(M-\mathrm{MeOH})^{+}$, 214.0259. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 214.0252; $\left(M-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)^{+}$, 187.0443. $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 187.0491; $\left(M-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)^{+}$, 159.0446. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, 159.0446]; $\lambda_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 340(\varepsilon 2100)$, 270infl. (19 100), and $253.5 \mathrm{~nm}(25600)$; $v_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2820$ (CHO), 1720 (ester CO), 1660 (aldehyde CO), $1640,1605,1570$, and $840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\tau\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right] 6.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.85\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{2}\right), 2.84$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}\right), 2.74\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8$ and $\left.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.54\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, and $-0.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO})$; $m / z 246$ ( $M^{+}, 100 \%$ ), 215 (17), 214 (62), 203 (33), 187 (37), 186 (68), and 159 (55).

Neutral fraction. This was chromatographed on a $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column ( 300 g ) with stepwise elution [light petroleum$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1) to $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeOH}(19: 1)\right]$ and $100-\mathrm{ml}$ fractions were collected. Fractions $1-8$ were concentrated and the solid residue was recrystallized $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$-light petroleum) to give needles of 8-hydroxy-3-methylisocoumarin (2) ( 150 mg ), m.p. 96-100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{4} 99-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Fractions 9-10 gave, on further chromatography, 3,4-dihydro-8-hydroxy-3-methylisocoumarin (4) ${ }^{4}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ as the major component. P.l.c. of the residue from fractions $13-16$ gave marasin $(1)^{3}(118 \mathrm{mg})$.

## 2,5-Dihydro-7-hydroxymethyl-5-oxo-1-benzoxepine-3-

carbaldehyde (9).-3,7-Bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-benzoxepine-5$(2 \mathrm{H})$-one (3) ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(500 \mathrm{mg})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{ml})$ for 1 h . The mixture was filtered (Celite), the $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ residue was washed with warm EtOAc ( 50 ml ), and the filtrate was concentrated. P.l.c. (EtOAc) of the residue gave three bands; the middle band contained the required monoaldehyde (9) ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ), b.p. $60-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $0.25 \mathrm{mmHg} ; R_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.28$ (Found: $M^{+}$, 218.0574. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $M, 218.0569)$; $\lambda_{\text {max. }}(\mathrm{EtOH}) 332$ ( $\varepsilon 1400$ ), 257.5 (7200), 219 (11900), and $202 \mathrm{~nm}(12400)$; $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 3600 (free OH), 3450 br (bonded OH), 2880 (CHO), 1695 (aldehyde CO), 1655 (ketone CO), 1635, 1610 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCO}$ and benzenoid system), 1490 , and $840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \tau\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.48(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, disappears on $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ addition, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 5.25(2 \mathrm{H}$, s, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 5.1\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{2}\right), 3.07\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}\right), 2.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 8.5$ and $\left.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, and $0.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}) ; m / z 218\left(M^{+}, 100 \%\right)$, 201 (4), 190 (21), 189 (34), 161 (49), 151 (23), 149 (19), 105 (23), and 77 (28).

7-(t-Butyldimethylsilyloxymethyl)-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1-benzoxepine-3-carbaldehyde (10).-The formyl alcohol (9) ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), t-butyldimethylsilyl chloride ( 61 mg , 0.41 mmol ), and imidazole ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The solution was filtered, and the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{HCl}(0.1 \mathrm{~m} ; 50 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine, dried, and concentrated. The residue was subjected to p.l.c. [ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-light petroleum (1:9); continuous elution; 2 h$]$ and the material obtained from the major band was crystallized (light petroleum) to give yellow needles of the silyloxy compound (10) ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ), m.p. $59-61{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.68$ \{Found: $\left(M-\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{+}$, 275.0736. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $m / z$, 275.0733; $\left(M-\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)^{+}$, 259.0421. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $m / z, 259.0416 ;\left[M-\mathrm{OSi}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{l}}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right]^{+}, \quad 201.0575 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $m / z, 201.0598\} ; \lambda_{\max .}(\mathrm{EtOH}) 332.5(\varepsilon 1800), 257.5$
(9 800), $220(18800)$, and $201 \mathrm{~nm}(22300) ; v_{\text {max. }}\left(\left(C C l_{4}\right) 2720\right.$ (CHO), 1705 (aldehyde CO), 1660 (ketone CO), 1640,1615 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCO}$ and benzenoid system), $1255\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2}\right), 1100$, and $1040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}) ; \tau\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 9.82\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{2}\right), 8.97(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{l}}$ ), $5.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}\right), 4.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{2}\right), 2.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}\right), 2.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.5$ and 2 Hz , $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, and $0.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}) ; m / z$ $332\left(M^{+}, 1 \%\right), 275(14), 260(18), 259$ (100), 201 (28), and 75 (28).

Methyl 7-(t-Butyldimethylsilyloxymethyl)-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1-benzoxepine-3-carboxylate (11).-The silyloxy aldehyde (10) ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(210 \mathrm{mg}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{KCN}$ $(42 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.4 \mathrm{ml})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ for 12 h . The mixture was filtered (Celite) and the filtrate was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{ml})$; the mixture was then washed (brine), dried, and concentrated. P.l.c. [ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-light petroleum ( $1: 9$ ); continuous elution; 2 h$]$ of the residue gave the ester (11) ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ), b.p. $130-138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (block) at $0.2 \mathrm{mmHg} ; R_{F}$ $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.61$ \{Found: $\left(M-\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)^{+}$, 305.0854. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $m / z, 305.0863$; $\left(M-\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)^{+}$, 289.0531. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $m / z$ 289.0530; [ $\left.M-\mathrm{OSi}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{l}}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right]^{+}$, 231.0654. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\left.m / z 231.0651\right\}$; $\lambda_{\text {max. }}$ (EtOH) 345 ( $\varepsilon 1400$ ), 280 ( 4500 ), 251.5 ( 6400 ), 220 ( 12600 ), and 203.5 nm (17 200); $v_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1730$ (ester CO), 1655 (ketone CO), 1640 , and $1615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCO}$ and benzenoid system); $\tau$ $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 9.94\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{2}\right), 9.09\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right)$, $6.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.35\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}\right), 5.11\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{2}\right), 2.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{d}, J 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}\right), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8$ and 2 Hz , $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, and $2.19\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) ; m / z 362\left(M^{+}, 2 \%\right), 305$ (40), 289 (100), and 231 (9).

Methyl 2,5-Dihydro-7-hydroxymethyl-5-oxo-1-benzoxepine-3-carboxylate (12).-A solution of the silyloxy ester (11) ( $306 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in AcOH -water ( $2: 1 ; 6 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . The mixture was diluted with water ( 20 ml ) and the aqueous solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The extract was washed (brine), dried, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was subjected to p.l.c. ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; continuous elution; 2 h ) and gave the hydroxy ester (12) ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ 0.28 ; b.p. $175-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (block) at 0.25 mmHg (Found: $M^{+}$, 248.0682. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\left.M, 248.0685\right)$; $\lambda_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 348$ ( $\varepsilon 1200$ ), 279 ( 4400 ), $250(5500), 220(14200)$, and 205 nm (17 100); $\lambda_{\text {max. }}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3610(\mathrm{OH}), 1725$ (ester CO), 1655
(ketone CO), 1635 , and $1610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCO}$ and benzenoid system); $\tau\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.57\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, disappears on $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ addition, OH ), $6.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.38\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 5.05$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{2}\right), 2.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.83\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}\right), 2.52$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 8$ and $2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ), and $2.14\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) ; m / z$ $248\left(M^{+}, 100 \%\right), 217(10), 216$ (33), 189 (33), 188 (57), and 161 (38).


#### Abstract

Methyl 7-Formyl-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1-benzoxepine-3carboxylate (7).-The hydroxy ester (12) ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~g})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{ml})$ for 2 h . Filtration (Celite), concentration of the filtrate, and crystallization ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ) of the residual solid gave needles of the required aldehydoester ( 7 ) $(72 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ), m.p. and mixed m.p. $121-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.42$. The spectra were identical with those of the natural product.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ Systematic name: methyl 7-formyl-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1-benzoxe-pine-3-carboxylate.

